## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO EASTERN DIVISION

NANCY J. AUDINO,	) CASE NO. 4:17-cv-01594-DAP
Plaintiff,	) <b>JUDGE DAN AARON POLSTER</b>
vs.	) ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,	)
Acting Comm'r of Soc. Sec.,	)
	)
Defendant.	

Before the Court is the Report and Recommendation of Magistrate Judge David Ruiz ("R & R"), Doc #. 15, which recommends that the Court affirm the Commissioner's final decision. The R & R was filed on July 5, 2018. It is now July 20, 2018, and no objections to the R & R have been filed.

Under the relevant statute,

Within fourteen days after being served with a copy, any party may serve and file written objections to such proposed findings and recommendations as provided by rules of court. A judge of the court shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made.

28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). The failure to timely file written objections to a Magistrate Judge's R & R

constitutes a waiver of the right to obtain a de novo review of the R & R in the district court.

United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949-50 (6th Cir. 1981); Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140,

149-50 (1985). The failure to file written objections also results in a waiver of the right to

appeal. Thomas v. Arn, 728 F.2d 813 (6th Cir. 1984), aff'd, 474 U.S. 140 (1985).

Here, the time for objection has passed and no objections have been filed. Nonetheless,

the Court has reviewed the Magistrate Judge's thorough R & R. The Court agrees with the

Magistrate Judge that the ALJ's findings were supported by substantial evidence. Consequently,

the Court agrees with the Magistrate Judge that the Commissioner's final decisions should be

affirmed.

Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS the R & R, Doc. # 15, in full and DISMISSES the

above-captioned case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Dan A. Polster

July 20, 2018

DAN AARON POLSTER

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

2